

College of Nursing Research Committee Faculty Research Grants 2006-2007

Purpose

The purpose of a College of Nursing Faculty Grant for research is to support specific research projects by faculty member(s) particularly where outside support is difficult to obtain, or where such a grant may be expected to lead to further external support. Appropriate types of expenditures may be determined from the budget page. Requests can vary in budget amounts up to \$5,000. These funds can be used to match or augment other sources of support. The award must include a specific timeframe for completion.

Eligibility

All faculty (regular, research, clinical, and academic staff) are eligible to apply for a College of Nursing Faculty grant. The faculty member will be the principal investigator (PI) on the proposal except in extraordinary circumstances. Please note that dissertation research or other projects used to fulfill academic requirements are not eligible.

Submission and Review

An electronic copy of the application/proposal should be sent as an MS-Word document attached to email, to Diane Ballard, Office of Research & Scholarship (Diane.Ballard@nurs.utah.edu). The Research Committee will review the proposals every month at the research committee meeting. The research committee meeting dates are listed on the online CON Calendar. Grant proposal submissions (or resubmissions) are due two weeks prior to the meeting dates. Grant proposals received after the due-date will be reviewed at the next month's meeting. The proposals will be evaluated for soundness according to criteria listed on page three of the application. Previous CON funding and related productivity will be considered in the Committee's funding decision.

Application Instructions

The proposal should be limited to a maximum of ten typed pages (preferable 3-5 pages), plus a cover page, budget page, and references. The proposal should consist of the following items:

1. Title Page – Including title of project, name and address of investigator(s), appendices, such as research instruments.
2. Main body
 - a. Research problem
 - b. Precise objectives/research questions/hypotheses (one page)
 - c. Methodology (2-4 pages for the following 4 categories)
 - Design and explanation of procedures
 - Setting and sample
 - Instrumentation/measurement
 - Analysis
 - d. Description of the contribution this project will make to your research program (one paragraph).
3. Budget page
4. Timeline including grant period – beginning and ending date

Final Report

Faculty should submit a project summary (one-page) and tangible products of the project, such as articles or grant proposals, at the end of the grant period (or yearly if grant is multi-year).

Budget**1. Supplies**

a. \$ _____
 b. \$ _____ Total \$ _____

2. Equipment

a. \$ _____
 b. \$ _____ Total \$ _____

3. Travel (not meetings)

\$ _____
 \$ _____ Total \$ _____

4. Assistance (Hourly or Workstudy Wages) (Please indicate the kind of work assistants will do.)

\$ _____

Employee Benefits (9%) \$ _____ Total \$ _____

5. Please discuss what you believe your needs will be from the statistics core and/or Research-Assistant-at-Large. These services are provided "in kind" by the Office of Research.

Grand Total \$ _____

Other Sources of Support \$ _____

Funding Source _____

Amount Requested of Research Committee \$ _____

Have you received prior CON Research Committee funding? Yes _____ No _____

If prior CON Research Committee funding has been received, provide following information:

Date _____ **Project Title** _____ **Amount \$** _____

Date _____ **Project Title** _____ **Amount \$** _____

Provide productivity details related to prior CON Research Committee funding (e.g., publications, presentations, grant funding).

Budget Justification: Please explain rationale for amount requested in each section.

Proposal Evaluation Criteria

1. Does the investigator(s) clearly delineate in the proposal, the:
 - Significance and potential impact of the project?
 - Project goals and objectives?
 - Project methods?
2. Are the described methods congruent with the purpose, goals, objectives, and expected outcomes?
3. Does the investigator(s) address any potential barriers or technical difficulties, and options for addressing the barriers or difficulties?
4. Is the proposal written in a cohesive, clear, scholarly manner, and with sufficient level of detail to support understanding?
5. Are key references cited in the proposal?
6. Is the financial budget reasonable in relationship to the proposed plan?
7. Is the proposal within the funding range stated in the CON Research Committee parameters?
8. Does the proposed time frame represent adequate time for sufficient review, consultation, or other necessary evaluation for effective execution of the research plan?
9. Does the investigator(s) delineate how this funding will interface with currently available alternate/complementary/supplemental funding approaches for the proposed research study, or with potential future funding opportunities?
10. Is the proposed research congruent with the investigator(s)'s program of research? Does the proposed research extend the program of research? If not, is pertinent rationale provided for new direction?
11. Does the significance of the proposed work contribute to the intellectual and career development of the investigator(s)?
12. Does the proposal reflect the investigator(s)'s capacity necessary to carry out the proposed research?